

11-22-cv

A

11/21/00

jc930 U.S. PTO
09/717809
11/21/00

Joseph Mulavelil George, Piotr Krychniak

Jeffrey S. LaBaw
Reg. No. 31,633
Intellectual Property Law Dept.
IBM Corporation
11400 Burnet Road 4054
Austin, Texas 75758
Telephone: (512) 823-0494

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

**METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR AN OBJECT MODEL WITH EMBEDDED
METADATA AND MAPPING INFORMATION**

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to an improved data processing system and, in particular, to a method and system for using a database. Still more particularly, the present invention provides a method and system for using an object-oriented software program.

2. Description of Related Art

In an object-oriented application, the relationships and behavior among objects are defined in accordance with an object model. Depending upon the use of the objects and the desired level of persistence, the object-oriented application may store the objects within a relational database in which the state of the objects are stored as records in a relational database table and in which object attributes are mapped to columns within the relational database tables.

Databases have constraints that limit the operations that may be performed on the stored object representations within the database by an object-oriented application. For example, there are foreign-key constraints, or dependency constraints, in the relational database that ensure the integrity of the data. When a data representation of an object is deleted from the relational database, the deletion of the underlying database record must abide by the database constraints so that if there are any dependencies, the deletion must be

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

handled accordingly. As another example, a database may have specific data field size limitations that prevent objects that exceed the size limit from being stored within the database.

5 Database constraints and data type size limits are some of the common exception cases that developers need to address in object models that store their data in databases. When the state of one or more objects are persisted into the database, the stored data must conform
10 to those constraints. However, the object classes and their instances defined by an object model generally lack sufficient information about those database constraints and size limits because the knowledge of the database constraints and size limits is expressed outside of the
15 domain of the object model, e.g., in the relational database schema definition if a relational database is used to store the state of the object model.

As a result, the clients of the object model cannot query the object model to learn about the constraints and
20 size limits. When an object is stored in the database, the database may generate an error if a constraint or size limit is exceeded. The objects in an object model may be expected to handle the database errors at the time their state is stored, but because the objects lack any
25 knowledge of appropriate policies to deal with those kind of errors, the errors are passed to the clients of the object model. It would be beneficial if the objects could handle these errors themselves in a well-behaved and consistent manner.

30 The clients of an object model would also benefit from objects having knowledge of predefined policies that should be adopted when some of the database constraints

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

are violated or size limits are exceeded. For example, the semantics of certain attributes of an object may allow for the attributes to be truncated, such as those of a descriptive nature, while other attributes have to
5 be stored intact to be meaningful.

Therefore, it would be advantageous to provide a method and system for allowing an object model to be aware of the database constraints and size limitations as well as capable of using semantic information about
10 attributes to adopt policies for dealing with those constraints and limits.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A method, system, apparatus, and computer program product are presented for storing object attribute data in a database. Metadata is retrieved from the database, e.g., by querying the database; the metadata includes information about database limitations, such as record constraints, field constraints, and/or size limits. The database may be a relational database in which object attributes correspond with columns of relational tables. Semantic information is retrieved from a mapping repository; the semantic information indicates a manner in which object attribute data is stored in the database, such as by providing a mapping between object attributes and records in a relational database. The metadata and the semantic information can be transformed into or stored in XML-formatted documents. The object attribute data is stored in the database according to the semantic information and the metadata. If the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations indicated within the retrieved metadata, then the object attribute data can be modified so that the object attribute data does not have characteristics that conflict with the database limitations, and the modified object attribute data can then be stored into the database without error. Heuristic information can also be used to modify the object attribute data in accordance with system policies. The metadata and the semantic information can be retrieved during an initialization phase of an object model that uses the metadata on behalf of clients of the object model.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the invention are set forth in the appended claims. The invention itself, further objectives, and advantages thereof, will be best understood by reference to the following detailed description when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Figure 1A depicts a typical distributed data processing system in which the present invention may be implemented;

Figure 1B depicts a typical computer architecture that may be used within a data processing system in which the present invention may be implemented;

Figure 2 is a block diagram that illustrates the relationship of typical software components within a computer system that may implement the present invention;

Figures 3A and 3B are diagrams illustrating exemplary data paths taken by requests and commands to use information stored in a relational database resident on a database server;

Figure 4 is an exemplary diagram illustrating a relational database;

Figure 5 is a diagram that depicts the data flow between the object model, the database, and other components of the present invention;

Figures 6A-6C are a set of diagrams that provide mapping and semantic information, metadata information, and heuristic information in an XML-formatted manner for use by an object model;

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

Figure 7 is a class diagram that shows some of the relationships between some of the classes that may be used to implement the present invention; and

Figures 8A-8B are a set of flowcharts depicting the manner in which database limitations are handled in the metadata-aware object model from which object attribute data are to be persisted into the database.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

5 With reference now to the figures, **Figure 1A** depicts a typical network of data processing systems, each of which may implement the present invention. Distributed data processing system **100** contains network **101**, which is a medium that may be used to provide communications links
10 between various devices and computers connected together within distributed data processing system **100**. Network **101** may include permanent connections, such as wire or fiber optic cables, or temporary connections made through telephone or wireless communications. In the depicted
15 example, server **102** and server **103** are connected to network **101** along with storage unit **104**. In addition, clients **105-107** also are connected to network **101**. Clients **105-107** and servers **102-103** may be represented by a variety of computing devices, such as mainframes,
20 personal computers, personal digital assistants (PDAs), etc. Distributed data processing system **100** may include additional servers, clients, routers, other devices, and peer-to-peer architectures that are not shown.

 In the depicted example, distributed data processing
25 system **100** may include the Internet with network **101** representing a worldwide collection of networks and gateways that use various protocols to communicate with one another, such as LDAP, TCP/IP, HTTP, etc. Of course, distributed data processing system **100** may also include a
30 number of different types of networks, such as, for example, an intranet, a local area network (LAN), or a

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

wide area network (WAN). For example, server 102 directly supports client 109 and network 110, which incorporates wireless communication links. Network-enabled phone 111 connects to network 110 through wireless link 112, and PDA 113 connects to network 110 through wireless link 114. Phone 111 and PDA 113 can also directly transfer data between themselves across wireless link 115 using an appropriate technology, such as Bluetooth™ wireless technology, to create so-called personal area networks or personal ad-hoc networks. In a similar manner, PDA 113 can transfer data to PDA 117 via wireless communication link 116.

The present invention could be implemented on a variety of hardware platforms; **Figure 1A** is intended as an example of a heterogeneous computing environment and not as an architectural limitation for the present invention.

With reference now to **Figure 1B**, a diagram depicts a typical computer architecture of a data processing system, such as those shown in **Figure 1A**, in which the present invention may be implemented. Data processing system 120 contains one or more central processing units (CPUs) 122 connected to internal system bus 123, which interconnects random access memory (RAM) 124, read-only memory 126, and input/output adapter 128, which supports various I/O devices, such as printer 130, disk units 132, or other devices not shown, such as a sound system, etc. System bus 123 also connects communication adapter 134 that provides access to communication link 136. User interface adapter 148 connects various user devices, such as keyboard 140 and mouse 142, or other devices not

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

shown, such as a touch screen, stylus, microphone, etc. Display adapter 144 connects system bus 123 to display device 146.

Those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate
5 that the hardware in **Figure 1B** may vary depending on the system implementation. For example, the system may have one or more processors and one or more types of non-volatile memory. Other peripheral devices may be used in addition to or in place of the hardware depicted
10 in **Figure 1B**. In other words, one of ordinary skill in the art would not expect to find similar components or architectures within a network-enabled phone and a fully featured desktop workstation. The depicted examples are not meant to imply architectural limitations with respect
15 to the present invention.

In addition to being able to be implemented on a variety of hardware platforms, the present invention may be implemented in a variety of software environments. A typical operating system may be used to control program
20 execution within each data processing system. For example, one device may run a Unix™ operating system, while another device contains a simple Java™ runtime environment. A representative computer platform may include a browser, which is a well known software
25 application for accessing hypertext documents in a variety of formats, such as graphic files, word processing files, Extensible Markup Language (XML), Hypertext Markup Language (HTML), Handheld Device Markup Language (HDML), Wireless Markup Language (WML), and various other formats
30 and types of files. Hence, it should be noted that the distributed data processing system shown in **Figure 1A** is

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

contemplated as being fully able to support a variety of peer-to-peer subnets and peer-to-peer services.

The present invention may be implemented on a variety of hardware and software platforms, as described above. More specifically, though, the present invention is directed to providing a method and system for using the metadata from a database in order to discover constraints associated with the database that may cause runtime errors when using the database and to enhance the capabilities of an object model so that the clients of the object model may handle the constraints that may be inherent in the database. As background, a typical relational database is described before describing the present invention in more detail.

While the present invention will be described with reference to preferred embodiments in which Java applications and Java objects are utilized, the invention is not limited to the use of the Java programming language. Rather, any programming language may be utilized with the principles of the present invention. The only requirement is that there must be some means for obtaining the structure of the relational database in the form of metadata information. For example, the present invention may be implemented using Microsoft Open Database Connectivity (ODBC). The ODBC driver provides links to such server/client database systems as Oracle and SQL Server and to desktop database systems such as dBASE and FoxPro.

The present invention may be implemented either at a server device or at a client device. In other words, the data representations of objects may be manipulated either on the client or the server. For example, if the system

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

makes use of a traditional client/server application, the present invention may be implemented at the client device. If the system makes use of a three-tier application, the present invention may be implemented at
5 a server. Furthermore, the client and server devices may be implemented as client and server processes on the same physical device. Thus, with regard to the descriptions of the preferred embodiments herein, client and server may constitute separate remote devices or the same device
10 operating in two separate capacities. Thus, the application tools according to the present invention may be stored in local memory, executed by a device's processor, and use the device's network connections.

With reference now to **Figure 2**, a block diagram
15 illustrates the relationship of typical software components operating within a computer system that may implement the present invention. Java-based system **200** contains platform specific operating system **202** that provides hardware and system support to software
20 executing on a specific hardware platform. JVM **204** is one software application that may execute in conjunction with the operating system. JVM **204** provides a Java run-time environment with the ability to execute Java application or applet **206**, which is a program, servlet,
25 or software component written in the Java programming language. The computer system in which JVM **204** operates may be similar to data processing system **120** described above. However, JVM **204** may be implemented in dedicated hardware on a so-called Java chip, Java-on-silicon, or
30 Java processor with an embedded picoJava core.

JVM 204 is the center of a Java run-time environment and supports all aspects of the Java environment, including its architecture, security features, mobility across networks, and platform independence. JVM 204 is a virtual computer that executes Java programs. JVM 204 is, in essence, a computer that is specified abstractly. The JVM loads class files and executes the bytecodes within them. The various Java specifications define certain features that every JVM must implement, with some range of design choices that may depend upon the platform on which the JVM is designed to execute. For example, all JVMs must execute Java bytecodes and may use a range of techniques to execute the instructions represented by the bytecodes. A JVM may be implemented completely in software or somewhat in hardware. This flexibility allows different JVMs to be designed for mainframe computers and PDAs.

In the preferred embodiment, Java Database Connectivity (JDBC) application interfaces are used to communicate with the relational database. JDBC application interfaces are application interfaces that are used to access heterogeneous databases in a transparent manner. The Java Database Connectivity (JDBC) kit was developed for Java to allow programmers to connect to a database and query it or update it using the Structured Query Language (SQL). JDBC can be used in both Java applications and applets. These applications and applets can be implemented as a traditional client/server program, as shown in **Figure 3A**, or as a three-tier application as shown in **Figure 3B**.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

With reference now to **Figures 3A and 3B**, diagrams illustrate exemplary data paths taken by requests and commands to store object-oriented data in a relational database resident on a database server. As shown in

5 **Figure 3A**, in a traditional client/server program, client 310 uses JDBC 320 to establish a communication connection with the database server 330 using a database protocol. In a three-tier application as shown in **Figure 3B**, client

10 340 does not make database calls. Instead, the client 340 calls on a middleware layer 350 on the server that in turn makes the database queries using JDBC 360.

The three-tier model separates the visual presentation from the business logic, i.e. the Java applications, and the raw data in the database 370.

15 Therefore, it becomes possible for the same data and same business rules to be accessed from multiple clients. Communication between client 340 and middleware 350 can occur by using various protocols such as HTTP (Hypertext Transport Protocol), RMI (Remote Method Invocation), and

20 the like. Communication between middleware 350 and database server 370 occurs using JDBC 360 and a database protocol. The present invention is equally applicable to either the client/server or the three-tier structure shown in **Figures 3A and 3B**. For purposes of

25 illustration, it will be assumed with regard to the embodiments described herein that the traditional client/server structure is utilized.

With reference now to **Figure 4**, exemplary tables illustrate a simplified relational database in which

30 object data is stored. The relational database is utilized in an object-relational system, i.e. a system in

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

which applications operate on objects which are mapped to the corresponding data representations, i.e. records, in tables of a relational database. As shown in **Figure 4**, the relational database is comprised of a plurality of
5 tables **410-430** which are linked based on common attributes shown as columns in the tables.

Table **410** includes information identifying authors. The table **410** includes such information as the author identification, the author's name, and a uniform resource
10 locator (URL) associated with the author. The author record is a data representation of an author object that may be utilized by a Java application.

The primary key (PK) of the table **410** (AUTH_TABLE) is the author identifier, or AUTHOR_ID. The primary key
15 is the attribute that uniquely identifies a record in the table. Thus, the AUTHOR_ID in table **410** is the primary key since multiple authors may have the same name but will have different AUTHOR_IDs.

Table **430** (BOOK_TABLE) includes information
20 identifying books. The table **430** includes such information as the TITLE of the book, the ISBN, the PUBLISHER_ID, an associated URL, and a PRICE for the book. The primary key for the records in the table **430** is the ISBN since this attribute uniquely identifies a
25 book in the table **430**. "ISBN" stands for "International Standard Book Number" and is a unique ten digit number assigned to each published book in many countries.

Table **420** (BOOK_AUTH_TABLE) includes information identifying book authors. The table **420** includes such
30 information as the ISBN of the book(s) authored by the author, the author's AUTHOR_ID, and a Seq_No (sequence

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

number). for the author. This information shows the authors for a specific book as well as the books authored by a specific author. It represents an intersection entity that maps the many-many relationship between
5 authors and books. The primary key for the records in table 420 is combination of the (AUTHOR_ID,ISBN) pair which uniquely identifies each record. In addition, table 420 includes the foreign keys Author_ID from table 410 (AUTH_TABLE) and ISBN from table 430 (BOOK_TABLE). A
10 foreign key is a primary key of one table that is present in another table. The tables 410-420-430 are linked by way of their primary and foreign keys.

Thus, based on the primary and foreign keys of the tables 410-420-430, the book-author object data in table
15 420 may not exist independently of the author object data in table 410 or the book object data in table 430. In other words, the primary key (Author_ID) of the table 410, i.e. a foreign key in table 420, is a proper subset of the primary key of table 420. Similarly, the primary
20 key (ISBN) of the table 430 is also a proper subset of the primary key of table 420. A foreign key is a "proper subset" of the primary key if the foreign key includes some or all of the primary keys of the table. Therefore, if an object in table 410 is to be deleted, such as the
25 author "Adams, John", the book author object data corresponding to "Adams, John" in table 420 must be deleted also.

The JDBC kit (APIs) provides a mechanism for providing information about the structure of a relational
30 database and its tables. For example, a user can get a list of tables in a particular relational database, the

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

column names, types of tables, and the like. This information is known as JDBC database metadata. The metadata may also include size limitations on fields and records with the database, not-nullable constraints, etc.

5 While the JDBC kit is utilized in the descriptions of the preferred embodiments herein, it should be appreciated by those of ordinary skill in the art that the JDBC kit is only an exemplary mechanism for providing structure information of a relational database and other mechanisms

10 may be employed without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. Many commercially available database products provide some manner of retrieving metadata about an implemented database.

In light of the background information provided by

15 **Figures 2-4** concerning an object-relational system, a detailed description of the present is hereinafter provided. The present invention enables an object model to be aware of constraints and size limits within a database that the object model is using to persist its

20 objects. In addition, the object model can be instructed on semantic information about attributes in order to adopt policies for dealing with those constraints and limits. Instead of relaying database errors to clients of the object model, the present invention allows the

25 object model to have more built-in intelligence for handling potential errors than could occur when the client is attempting to use the database. Additionally, the client can query the object model to learn about constraints and size limits to which the attributes of

30 the objects in the object model have to conform.

With reference now to **Figure 5**, a diagram depicts the data flow between the object model, the database, and

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

other components in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention. Object model 502 contains various objects 504 that are used by clients of the object model to implement various data processing requirements within an application or applet. Database 506 is used to persist objects and their attributes in a well-known manner, such as the manner described above with respect to **Figures 3A-3B**. The remaining elements of **Figure 5** are to be interpreted in a broad, general manner with specific data and component structures described in more detail further below.

In contrast to prior art methods in which the clients of an object model remain unaware of database limitations, even though the database may have metadata available for error-avoidance processing, the present invention employs MapInfo component 508 that enables the object model to become aware of database constraints, size limits, or any other database limitation that could potentially cause runtime errors. In its broadest sense, the MapInfo component is a cache of mapping and metadata information.

MapInfo component 508 is built dynamically at object model initialization time by reading information from mapping repository 510 to obtain information about mapping objects from the object model to tables in database 506. Assuming that the database is a relational data store, the mapping repository contains information about linking attributes of objects in the classes comprising the object model to columns in the tables in the relational database. In one perspective, the mapping information consists of (Class.attribute, Table.column)

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

pairs that provide a mapping between attributes and columns. MapInfo 508 also reads mapping repository 510 to obtain semantic information about object attributes, e.g., such as whether or not an attribute can be
5 truncated when the attribute is stored into the database. The object model provider has the responsibility of ensuring that the mapping repository accurately reflects the links between the object model's object attributes and, assuming a relational data store, the database
10 tables and columns. By so doing, the object model will have the most current information about the database limitations available to the clients of the object model.

MapInfo 508 also dynamically retrieves metadata information 512 about the database by querying the
15 database for its metadata. This provides current information at runtime about the database constraints, attribute size limits, data type limits, and other database limitations.

MapInfo 508 is also responsible for reading
20 heuristics information 514 to obtain processing rules, limitations, or permissive actions that may be performed when storing attributes in the database. In one perspective, the heuristic information can provide generic rules that may be applied to database-related
25 actions. The heuristics may apply to a type of attribute or more generally to many types of attributes or all attributes.

For example, an attribute may have a processing limitation associated with it if such a limitation is
30 necessary to ensure the integrity of the attribute. The processing limitation would then be stored as part of the

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

mapping and semantic information for the attribute. If an explicit limitation is missing or is omitted in the mapping repository, then MapInfo 508 can refer to the heuristic information to obtain an applicable rule. The
5 distinction is that the mapping repository provides information about specific attributes whereas the heuristics are more general and apply to types of attributes or the system as a whole.

As an example, if the mapping repository does not
10 have a constraint associated with a particular attribute, such as whether or not the attribute can be truncated when it is stored, then a heuristic may provide that the attribute can be truncated as long as the attribute is not part of a primary key or a foreign key. Having such
15 defined heuristics should reduce runtime errors within the clients of the object model. If the attribute was part of a foreign key, and the attribute was truncated when stored and then later retrieved, then the client would have the unexpected result that using the retrieved
20 attribute to search through the primary keys in the main table would result in a failed search because the retrieved, truncated value would not match any of the primary keys.

The mapping and semantic information, the heuristic
25 information, and the database metadata need to be available to the MapInfo component or to the object model in a manner that allows it to be accessed programmatically. In other words, each of these sets of information must be able to be interpreted or parsed by
30 objects within the object model. The information may be formatted in a variety of manners, but these sets of information are preferably available as XML documents.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

For example, MapInfo 508 can receive JDBC database metadata as input and can create a markup language file describing the metadata information. These information sets may be stored as appropriate, either as separate
5 files, in other databases, within database 506, etc.

With reference now to **Figures 6A-6C**, a set of diagrams provide mapping and semantic information, metadata information, and heuristic information for use by an object model in accordance with a preferred
10 embodiment of the present invention. The examples shown in **Figures 6A-6C** continue the example shown in **Figure 4**.

Figure 6A shows an example of Java class 600 within the object model. Each data member within the Java "Author" class can be stored in a relational database.
15 Hence, as should be apparent, each member of class 600 corresponds to the columns of an "AUTH_TABLE" table 410 shown in **Figure 4**.

Figure 6B shows an example of mapping and semantic information that may be stored within a mapping repository using an XML format, although other data formats could be
20 used. Mapping and semantic information 610 defines how the object model constructs maps to the corresponding tables in the database and how the object model should process the various attributes. This information is
25 generated, either manually or automatically, during the development of the object model and its corresponding database schema, i.e. it is statically defined. The mapping record shown in **Figure 6B** is the simplified case of an object mapping to a single table. Depending upon
30 the desired implementation, it is possible for one object

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

to map to multiple tables, and the mapping record would reflect this accordingly.

In **Figure 4**, author table **410** has columns "Author_ID", "Name", "URL", and "Description" as fields within each record in the table. Each attribute within the author table has a corresponding entry within the mapping record in **Figure 6B** which provides the name of the database field as indicated by the "DBField" XML element. Associated with each database field is semantic information indicating whether or not the field is truncatable. The attribute in the object model class is identified by the "ClassField" XML element, which also specifies the data type of that attribute.

In XML terminology, each "MappingRecord" element associates a particular class in the object model, as indicated by the "classname" property associated with each "MappingRecord", with a table in the database, as indicated by the "TableName" element. Each "MappingRecord" entity has one "Attribute" element for each object attribute with an element property indicating its "Position". Each "Attribute" element then has subelements linking each object class member "ClassField" with a database column "DBField" and associated semantic information.

Figure 6C shows metadata information for table **410** in **Figure 4** that has been retrieved from the database during runtime when the object model is instantiated. Again, depending upon the implementation and the size and number of tables, the metadata information may be more detailed. In this example, the metadata information has been formatted as an XML document. Each table entity contains

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

elements and sub-elements with information on each record field or column within the table, such as the name of the field, its position, and its data type.

More importantly for the present invention, however, metadata information 620 contains database constraints, size limits, and other potential database limitations to be communicated to clients of the object model. In metadata information 620, each record field has an associated constraint "Nullable" that indicates whether or not an object attribute can be "NULL" when stored within the database. In addition, each field element has a sub-element "Length" that indicates the maximum length of the object attribute that may be stored within the database field, thereby providing a type of size constraint. Other constraints or limitations may be shown in a similar manner if necessary for a particular database implementation.

By comparing **Figures 6A-6B**, one can see that the names of the fields in a particular table in the database, as retrieved in metadata information 620, and the names of the attributes of the object in a specific class in the object model, as shown in class 600, are mapped together by mapping and semantic information 610. With the associations between the object attributes and the database fields provided by the mapping records, the values of the constraints and other limitations in the metadata can be easily associated with the appropriate object attributes. After the metadata is retrieved, it can be parsed to obtain the limitations, which are then cached in an appropriate manner.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

Heuristics to be associated with mapping operations may be implemented in any appropriate manner. The following example is shown using pseudo-code in a rule-based language, although other programmatic formats could be used. For example, the following rule could be used:

```
IF (substring('desc', <attribute_name>)
```

```
    THEN is_truncatable(<attribute_name> = TRUE).
```

This rule indicates that if the attribute name that is currently being processed contains the substring "desc", then the associated database field that is currently being processed is probably a description field, and the current attribute is therefore truncatable.

The heuristic information can be viewed as policies to be adopted by the system when potential violations of database limitations may be present, and similar heuristics could provide similar types of runtime guidance. Hence, the heuristics may be operated through various types of policy management components, especially in distributed systems in which one desires to apply consistent heuristics across multiple types of databases, multiple database installations, etc.

The policies can be generic or application-specific. For example, in a generic case, a persist operation could fail because a data value that is to be stored within a database field is too long compared to the storage size of the database field. However, a heuristic can indicate that the data field stores truncatable data, e.g., a description field as shown above. In response, the responsible object within the object model can truncate the data to be stored in the field and successfully perform the persist operation without propagating the

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

error to the user. The system may then provide some type of warning, error code, or log that this type of modification has been made.

In a more specific example, a persist operation may fail because an identifier to be used as a primary key already exists within the database. Following the previous examples, the value of an "AUTHOR_ID" may already exist within the AUTH_TABLE. In that case, there could be a rule in place that can automatically generate a new identifier to be used for the author if a different author indeed exists with the same name, as might be determinable by the full name of the author, the birth date of the author, or a combination of other fields, etc. A heuristic can indicate that in a situation in which two identifiers are identical, then a numerical postfix can be appended to the identifier, e.g., "TAYLOR01" is generated if "TAYLOR" already exists. Alternatively, if the identifier refers to the same author, as indicated by other information like the author's full name, then a rule may be in place to simply update the author information.

As another more specific example, referring again to **Figure 4**, if an author record in AUTH_TABLE table 410 is to be deleted, then the associated BOOK_AUTH_TABLE records in table 420 in table also have to be deleted. However, the system may be configured to keep track of the author names provided with a book, even if other author-associated bibliographic information is not available. This might occur for various reasons in which the owner of a database has incomplete information. In this case, the AUTH_TABLE could have an additional field called DELETE_STATUS, and when a delete operation is

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

performed on an author record in the AUTH_TABLE, a rule could be in place to simply change the value of the DELETE_STATUS field to "DELETED" instead of doing a cascade delete.

5 By incorporating these types of database operations through managed heuristic policies at the object model level, it should be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that a metadata-aware object model coupled with customizable heuristics can be quite powerful to a system
10 that uses this type of object model.

 With reference now to **Figure 7**, a class diagram shows some of the relationships between some of the classes that may be used to implement the present invention. **Figure 7** shows a Unified Modeling Language
15 (UML) diagram that describes aspects of the object model. MapInfo class **702** uses MapReader class **704** to read mapping and semantic information from a mapping repository, e.g., by reading an XML document containing the mapping information. MapInfo class **702** also uses
20 DBConnection class **706** to query a database for metadata about tables and columns that is subsequently used to store the attributes from the objects in the object model classes in accordance with enumerated elements in the mapping repository. DBConnection class provides the
25 ability to communicate with the database and may be similar to JDBC shown in **Figures 3A-3B**.

 MapInfo class **702** then caches the information about the mappings, database constraints, and database size limits. MapInfo class **702** can now expose its services
30 through methods that return limitation information, such as the maximum size of an attribute of a class, whether

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

or not nulls are allowed, and whether or not attributes can be truncated. For example, MapInfo class 702 has methods 708, such as "isNullable()" and "getMaxSize(fieldName)". The object model classes 710
5 are derived from PersistentClass 712 that in turn uses MapInfo class 702. The clients of the object model can query the object model classes for the database limitation information using the appropriate methods in MapInfo class 702. The classes would be changed to
10 incorporate these new methods, i.e. the developer or programmer would add these methods to these classes. The clients would then make regular Java method invocations on these classes.

With reference now to **Figures 8A-8B**, a set of
15 flowcharts depict the manner in which database limitations are handled in the metadata-aware object model from which object attribute data are to be persisted into the database. In the flowcharts, the metadata-aware object model can use the metadata to
20 handle potential errors intelligently. Alternatively, the client may use the APIs as described above for using a metadata-aware object model.

Figure 8A shows a process that is used to gather information during initialization so that database
25 limitation information is available to clients of an object model. **Figure 8B** shows a process that is used by the metadata-aware object model to handle errors when attempting to persist object state information within the database.

30 Referring to **Figure 8A**, the process begins by reading mapping and semantic information from a mapping

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

repository that has been built prior to runtime (step 802). This information is then cached within data structures (step 804), such as data structures within a MapInfo class as shown in **Figure 5**. The database is then queried to retrieve metadata that describes the limitations of the database (step 806), such as field constraints, size limits, etc. The metadata may be optionally formatted in a manner that allows for easy information retrieval (step 808), such as elements within an XML document that can be combined with other elements from other XML documents. The metadata is then cached within data structures associated with previously cached mapping and semantic information (step 810). If heuristic information is available, then it is read from its storage location (step 812) and also cached in association with the metadata (step 814). The process of initializing the cached metadata information is then complete.

Referring to **Figure 8B**, the process begins when a client of the object model has generated some object attribute data or has decided that some object attribute data should be persisted (step 850). The object model then persists object data on behalf of the client (step 852). During the persistence operation, the object model invokes an appropriate method associated with the object's class to discover any limitations that exist at the database end that may potentially cause an error when other database-related methods are invoked to store the object attribute (step 854). Preferably, the object model intelligently handles this error when it persists the object data on the client's behalf. A determination

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

is then made as to whether or not any potential conflicts exist between the actual object attribute data and any limitations in a corresponding database field (step 856). If not, then the object attribute data may be stored in the database by calling the appropriate database engine methods, etc. (step 858). If it is determined that there are conflicts, then the object model can adopt appropriate policies for dealing with the situation (step 860), which may include selecting an appropriate policy or further action as provided by previously cached heuristics. The process within the object model for persisting the object attributes within the database is then complete.

The advantages of the present invention should be apparent in view of the detailed description of the invention that is provided above. In the prior art, clients of an object model might confront uninterpretable errors when storing objects in a database. In the present invention, metadata is obtained from a database and provided to the object model that uses the database for persisting the state of its objects. The metadata provides the object model with constraints, size limits, or other limiting information about a database so that the object model can anticipate and handle potential errors that could occur at the database level. Rather than keeping the metadata embedded within the database, the object model obtains access to the metadata and can adopt policies for dealing with those constraints and limits. In addition, the object model is also provided with heuristics that can be applied to storage processing of attributes. In this manner, the object model becomes

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

metadata-aware and can handle database operations more intelligently and thus propagate fewer errors to the client.

It is important to note that while the present invention has been described in the context of a fully functioning data processing system, those of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the processes of the present invention are capable of being distributed in the form of instructions in a computer readable medium and a variety of other forms, regardless of the particular type of signal bearing media actually used to carry out the distribution. Examples of computer readable media include media such as EPROM, ROM, tape, paper, floppy disc, hard disk drive, RAM, and CD-ROMs and transmission-type media, such as digital and analog communications links.

The description of the present invention has been presented for purposes of illustration but is not intended to be exhaustive or limited to the disclosed embodiments. Many modifications and variations will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. The embodiments were chosen to explain the principles of the invention and its practical applications and to enable others of ordinary skill in the art to understand the invention in order to implement various embodiments with various modifications as might be suited to other contemplated uses.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for storing object attribute data in a
5 database, the method comprising the steps of:
retrieving metadata from the database, wherein the
metadata indicates database limitations;
retrieving semantic information from a mapping
repository, wherein the semantic information indicates a
10 manner in which object attribute data is stored in the
database; and
storing object attribute data in the database
according to the semantic information and the metadata.
- 15 2. The method of claim 1 wherein the database
limitations are selected from a group comprising record
constraints, field constraints, and/or size limits.
3. The method of claim 1 further comprising:
20 storing the metadata using markup language to
identify the metadata.
4. The method of claim 1 wherein the semantic
information provides a mapping between object attributes
25 and records in a relational database.
5. The method of claim 1 further comprising:
storing the semantic information using markup
language to identify the semantic information.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

6. The method of claim 1 further comprising:

determining whether or not the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations indicated within the retrieved metadata; and

5 in response to a determination that the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations, modifying the object attribute data so that the object attribute data does not have characteristics that conflict with the database
10 limitations.

7. The method of claim 1 comprising:

retrieving heuristic information; and

15 modifying the object attribute data in accordance with the heuristic information if the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations.

20 8. The method of claim 1 wherein the metadata and the semantic information are retrieved during an initialization phase of an object model that uses the metadata on behalf of a client of the object model.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

9. The method of claim 1 comprising:

retrieving heuristic information, wherein the heuristic information and the metadata are cached within an object model; and

5 modifying the object attribute data within the object model on behalf of a client in accordance with the heuristic information if the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations such that the modified object attribute data
10 can be stored into the database without error.

10. A method for storing object attribute data in a database, the method comprising the steps of:

retrieving metadata from the database, wherein the
15 metadata indicates database limitations;

retrieving semantic information from a mapping repository, wherein the semantic information indicates a manner in which object attribute data is stored in the database;

20 retrieving heuristic information, wherein the heuristic information and the metadata are cached within an object model;

modifying the object attribute data within the object model on behalf of a client in accordance with the
25 heuristic information if the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations; and

storing the modified object attribute data in the database.

30

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

11. An apparatus for storing object attribute data in a database, the apparatus comprising:

first retrieving means for retrieving metadata from the database, wherein the metadata indicates database
5 limitations;

second retrieving means for retrieving semantic information from a mapping repository, wherein the semantic information indicates a manner in which object attribute data is stored in the database; and

10 storing means for storing object attribute data in the database according to the semantic information and the metadata.

12. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the database
15 limitations are selected from a group comprising record constraints, field constraints, and/or size limits.

13. The apparatus of claim 11 further comprising:
20 storing the metadata using markup language to identify the metadata.

14. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the semantic
information provides a mapping between object attributes and records in a relational database.

25 15. The apparatus of claim 11 further comprising:
storing the semantic information using markup language to identify the semantic information.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

16. The apparatus of claim 11 further comprising:

determining means for determining whether or not the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations indicated within the

5 retrieved metadata; and

first modifying means for modifying, in response to a determination that the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations, the object attribute data so that the object
10 attribute data does not have characteristics that conflict with the database limitations.

17. The apparatus of claim 11 comprising:

15 third retrieving means for retrieving heuristic information; and

second modifying means for modifying the object attribute data in accordance with the heuristic information if the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database
20 limitations.

18. The apparatus of claim 11 wherein the metadata and the semantic information are retrieved during an initialization phase of an object model that uses the
25 metadata on behalf of a client of the object model.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

19. The apparatus of claim 11 comprising:

retrieving means for retrieving heuristic information, wherein the heuristic information and the metadata are cached within an object model; and

5 modifying means for modifying the object attribute data within the object model on behalf of a client in accordance with the heuristic information if the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations such that the modified object
10 attribute data can be stored into the database without error.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

20. A computer program product in a computer readable medium for use in a data processing system for storing object attribute data in a database, the computer program product comprising:

5 instructions for retrieving metadata from the database, wherein the metadata indicates database limitations;

instructions for retrieving semantic information from a mapping repository, wherein the semantic
10 information indicates a manner in which object attribute data is stored in the database; and

instructions for storing object attribute data in the database according to the semantic information and the metadata.

15

21. The computer program product of claim 20 wherein the database limitations are selected from a group comprising record constraints, field constraints, and/or size limits.

20

22. The computer program product of claim 20 wherein the semantic information provides a mapping between object attributes and records in a relational database.

25

23. The computer program product of claim 20 further comprising:

instructions for determining whether or not the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations indicated within the
30 retrieved metadata; and

instructions for modifying, in response to a determination that the object attribute data has

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

characteristics that conflict with the database limitations, the object attribute data so that the object attribute data does not have characteristics that conflict with the database limitations.

5

24. The computer program product of claim 20 comprising:
instructions for retrieving heuristic information;
and

10 instructions for modifying the object attribute data
in accordance with the heuristic information if the
object attribute data has characteristics that conflict
with the database limitations.

15 25. The computer program product of claim 20 wherein the
metadata and the semantic information are retrieved
during an initialization phase of an object model that
uses the metadata on behalf of a client of the object
model.

20 26. The computer program product of claim 20 comprising:
instructions for retrieving heuristic information,
wherein the heuristic information and the metadata are
cached within an object model; and

25 instructions for modifying the object attribute data
within the object model on behalf of a client in
accordance with the heuristic information if the object
attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the
database limitations such that the modified object
30 attribute data can be stored into the database without
error.

AUS9-2000-0551-US1

27. A computer program product in a computer readable medium for use in a data processing system for storing object attribute data in a database, the computer program product comprising:

5 instructions for retrieving metadata from the database, wherein the metadata indicates database limitations;

 instructions for retrieving semantic information from a mapping repository, wherein the semantic
10 information indicates a manner in which object attribute data is stored in the database;

 instructions for retrieving heuristic information, wherein the heuristic information and the metadata are cached within an object model;

15 instructions for modifying the object attribute data within the object model on behalf of a client in accordance with the heuristic information if the object attribute data has characteristics that conflict with the database limitations; and

20 instructions for storing the modified object attribute data in the database.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE**METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR AN OBJECT MODEL WITH EMBEDDED
METADATA AND MAPPING INFORMATION**


5

Metadata is obtained from a database and provided to an object model that uses the database for persisting the state of its objects. The metadata provides the object model with constraints, size limits, or other limiting information about a database so that the object model can anticipate and handle potential errors that could occur at the database level. Rather than keeping the metadata embedded within the database, the object model obtains access to the metadata and can adopt policies for dealing with those constraints and limits. In addition, the object model is also provided with heuristics that can be applied to storage processing of attributes. In this manner, the object model becomes metadata-aware and can handle database operations more intelligently and thus propagate fewer errors to the client.


10

15


20




Prior Art
Figure 1A




Prior Art
Figure 1B



Prior Art
Figure 2



Prior Art
Figure 3A



Prior Art
Figure 3B

410

AUTHOR_ID (PK)	NAME	URL	DESCRIPTION
ADAM APON	ADAMS, JOHN APONE, KEVIN	HTTP://... HTTP://...	"This author received an IEEE ..." "After spending ten years in ..."
•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•

AUTH_TABLE

400

420

ISBN (PK)	AUTHOR_ID (PK)	SEQ_NO
0-08-882-111-4	ADAM	1
0-07-456-432-1	APON	4
•	•	•
•	•	•
•	•	•

BOOK_AUTH_TABLE

430

ISBN (PK)	TITLE	PUBLISHER_ID	URL	PRICE
0-08-882-111-4	BEYOND THE ARTIC	00989	HTTP://...	27.95
0-07-456-432-1	GUIDE TO JAVA	01432	HTTP://...	15.00
•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•

BOOK_TABLE

Figure 4




Figure 5

Java Class Definition

```
class Author {  
    private String m_author_id;  
    private String m_author_name;  
    private String m_url;  
    private String m_description;  
}
```

Figure 6A

```
<Table name="AUTH_TABLE" numattributes="4">  
  <PrimaryKey>  
    <Field name="AUTHOR_ID" pos="1">  
      <Type> VARCHAR2 </Type>  
      <Nullable> FALSE </Nullable>  
      <Length> 20 </Length>  
    </Field>  
  </PrimaryKey>  
  <Field name="NAME" pos="2">  
    <Type> VARCHAR2 </Type>  
    <Nullable> FALSE </Nullable>  
    <Length> 40 </Length>  
  </Field>  
  <Field name="URL" pos="3">  
    <Type> VARCHAR2 </Type>  
    <Nullable> FALSE </Nullable>  
    <Length> 1024 </Length>  
  </Field>  
  <Field name="DESCRIPTION" pos="4">  
    <Type> VARCHAR2 </Type>  
    <Nullable> FALSE </Nullable>  
    <Length> 512 </Length>  
  </Field>  
</Table>
```

Figure 6C

```
<MappingRecord classname="Author" >  
  <TableName> AUTH_TABLE </TableName>  
  <Attribute position="1">  
    <ClassField type="String"> m_author_id </ClassField>  
    <DBField> AUTHOR_ID </DBField>  
    <Truncatable> NO </Truncatable>  
  </Attribute>  
  <Attribute position="2">  
    <ClassField type="String"> m_author_name </ClassField>  
    <DBField> NAME </DBField>  
    <Truncatable> NO </Truncatable>  
  </Attribute>  
  <Attribute position="3">  
    <ClassField type="String"> m_url </ClassField>  
    <DBField> URL </DBField>  
    <Truncatable> NO </Truncatable>  
  </Attribute>  
  <Attribute position="4">  
    <ClassField type="String"> m_description </ClassField>  
    <DBField> DESCRIPTION </DBField>  
    <Truncatable> YES </Truncatable>  
  </Attribute>  
</MappingRecord>
```

Figure 6B




Figure 7




Figure 8A




Figure 8B

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR AN OBJECT MODEL WITH EMBEDDED METADATA AND MAPPING INFORMATION

the specification of which (check one)

X is attached hereto.

___ was filed on _____
as Application Serial No. _____
and was amended on _____
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s):

Priority Claimed

(Number) (Country) (Day/Month/Year) ___ Yes ___ No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United

States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to the patentability of this application as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Serial #)	(Filing Date)	(Status)
------------------------	---------------	----------

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

John W. Henderson, Jr., Reg. No. 26,907; James H. Barksdale, Jr., Reg. No. 24,091; Thomas E. Tyson, Reg. No. 28,543; Robert M. Carwell, Reg. No. 28,499; Jeffrey S. LaBaw, Reg. No. 31,633; Douglas H. Lefevre, Reg. No. 26,193; Casimer K. Salys, Reg. No. 28,900; David A. Mims, Jr., Reg. 32,708; Volel Emile, Reg. No. 39,969; Leslie A. Van Leeuwen, Reg. No. 42,196; Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914; Edward A. Pennington, Reg. No. 32,588; John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279; Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753; Marilyn S. Dawkins, Reg. No. 31,140; Mark E. McBurney, Reg. No. 33,114; Cynthia S. Byrd, Reg. No. 39,365; and Joseph R. Burwell, Reg. No. 44,468.

Send correspondence to: Joseph R. Burwell
Law Office of Joseph R. Burwell
P.O. Box 28022
Austin, Texas 78755-8022

and telephone calls to: (512) 502-9448
and faxes to: (512) 597-1218.

FULL NAME OF SOLE OR FIRST INVENTOR: Joseph Mulavelil George

INVENTOR SIGNATURE: 

DATE: 11/20/2000

RESIDENCE: Austin, Texas

CITIZENSHIP: India

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: 3456 N. Hills Dr. #201
Austin, TX 78731

DOCKET NUMBER: AUS9-2000-0551-US1

FULL NAME OF SECOND INVENTOR: Piotr Krychniak

INVENTOR SIGNATURE: *Piotr Krychniak*

DATE: 11/20/2000

RESIDENCE: Austin, Texas

CITIZENSHIP: Poland

POST OFFICE ADDRESS: 12805 Lantana Ridge Court
Austin, TX 78732